



Coventry City Council

SUMMARY OF CABINET/CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

WEEK COMMENCING 12 JANUARY 2015

**CALL IN FOR THESE DECISIONS ENDS
9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 23 JANUARY 2015**

16 JANUARY 2015

Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- * Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member for Public Services – 12 January 2015

Report 4 Petition – Condition of the roads and pavements in Dial House Lane and Chiel Close

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to:

1. Continue to maintain pavements at Dial House Lane and Chiel Close by means of reactive localised repairs as and when intervention level defects are identified.
2. Approve that Dial House Lane and Chiel Close are held as sites on Coventry City Council's forward programme list and their condition be monitored and scored against all other similar sites citywide.
3. Approve that when a priority score is reached for Dial House Lane and Chiel Close, they will be included in any future treatment programmes, budget permitting.

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 5 Petition – Condition of the pavements at Stareton Close and Cannon Close, Earsldon.

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to:

1. Continue to maintain the pavements on Stareton Close and Cannon Close by means of reactive localised repairs as and when intervention level defects are identified.
2. Approve that Stareton Close and Cannon Close are held as sites on Coventry City Council's forward programme list and their condition be continually monitored and scored against all other similar sites Citywide.
3. Approve that when a priority score is reached at Stareton Close or Cannon Close, they will be included in any future treatment programmes, budget permitting.

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 6 Report Back on Whitley 20mph speed limit

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to approve:

- (i) that a 20 mph speed limit project be prioritised within the area in Whitley highlighted by the petitioners in accordance with the 20 mph zone/speed limit prioritisation criteria approved by Cabinet Member on 02/09/14 and be included in a future year's Capital Programme as appropriate.
- (ii) that the petitioners be informed of this decision.

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 7 Report back on petition for Traffic Calming Measures and introduction of Signalised Pedestrian Crossing on Leamington Road

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to approve:

- (i) the introduction of an additional traffic island on Leamington Road near the junction with Thirsk Road, **to be funded from future year's Transportation and Highways and Maintenance Capital Programme**
- (ii) to support a low-cost community based intervention in collaboration with the Community Safety Team and the Police to introduce Community Speed Watch along Leamington Road.
- (iii) that the petitioners be informed of this decision.

The above recommendations were approved, recommendation (i) having been amended where indicated in bold, together with the following additional recommendation:

- (iv) the acceleration of the introduction of additional vehicle activated signs (VAS) in the current financial year.

Report 8 Outstanding Issues

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member (Public Services) is requested to consider the list of outstanding issues and to ask the Member of the Management Board or appropriate officer to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

The above recommendation was approved.

Cabinet Member for Health and Adult Services – 13 January 2015

Report 4 Health and Wellbeing Contract - Proposed Insourcing

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member (Health and Adult Services) is recommended to approve:-

1. That on the expiry of the Health Trainer contract with the Coventry and Warwickshire Partnership NHS Trust on the 31st March 2015 the operations are insourced, to be delivered directly by the Council's 'Be Active Be Healthy' service.
2. That officers enter into formal discussions with the Coventry and Warwickshire Partnership NHS Trust to obtain the appropriate information to allow the insourcing to take place, including the information required under TUPE (the Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006) and other appropriate operational information.

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 5 Transfer of 0-5 Public Health Commissioning Responsibility to Local Authorities

Recommendations:

- (1) To note the current position and request the Director of Public Health to provide a further report regarding the progress of the transfer following conclusion of the negotiations regarding the 2015/16 contract for Health Visiting and Family Nurse Partnership services.

The above recommendations were approved.

Cabinet Member for Business, Enterprise and Employment – 13 January 2015

Report 5 Release of Restrictive Covenant, 21 Parkside, Coventry

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member is recommended to:

1. Approve the release of the restrictive covenant subject to the payment of a premium.
2. Delegate authority to the Executive Director of Resources to complete the Deed of Release and collect the agreed premium.

The above recommendations were approved.

Cabinet Member for Culture, Leisure, Sports and Parks – 16 January 2015

Report 4 Juniper Drive Play Area Petition – Request that children’s play equipment in the park is replaced with updated equipment following consultation with local residents.

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for Culture, Leisure, Sports and Parks is recommended to:

1. Note that adequate play provision is located in Allesley Park just 600 metres from Juniper Drive
2. Agree that updating and replacing the play equipment at Juniper Drive is unsustainable in the present financial climate
3. Agree that the play equipment, apart from the basketball hoop and goal, be removed from Juniper Drive and that any serviceable items be reused where practicable and that the area be reinstated to grass

The above recommendations were approved with the addition of the following recommendation:

4. That the City Council works with the local residents group to explore external funding opportunities for the replacement of the play equipment at the Juniper Drive play area and that a progress report on this work be submitted to a future meeting of the Cabinet Member.

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate **UNLESS:-**

1. it falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
 - (a) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
 - (b) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
 - (c) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority unless it is a key decision
 - (d) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee, the Planning Committee, the Appeals and Appointments Panels and the Audit and Procurement Committee
 - (e) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.